





REFEREE ASSESSMENT REPORT 2015/16



Match Details

FIXTURE	 Manchester City	1-2	Juventus	
COMPETITION	UEFA Champions League			
DATE (KICK OFF TIME)	15/09/2015 (19:45)			
VENUE	Etihad Stadium, Manchester			



Appointed Officials

		NAT	MARK	BAND
	REFEREE	SKOMINA, Damir	 7.9	SATISFACTORY
	ASSISTANT REFEREE 1	PRAPROTNIK, Jure	 8.4	GOOD
	ASSISTANT REFEREE 2	VUKAN, Robert	 8.5	EXCELLENT
	ADDITIONAL ASSISTANT 1	JUG, Matej	 8.4	GOOD
	ADDITIONAL ASSISTANT 2	VINCIC, Slavko	 7.9	SATISFACTORY
	4 TH OFFICIAL	VIDALI, Manuel	 8.4	GOOD
	ASSESSOR	EDWARD	 GRE	



SECTION A – THE REFEREE

1 | IDENTIFICATION OF FOUL CHALLENGES

ACCURACY The referee's ability to accurately distinguish between fair and foul challenges.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	X SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
CONSISTENCY The referee's consistency in identification & penalising of foul challenges.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
OVERALL MARK An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	7.9 SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS Brief comments by the assessor, applied to this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate.	Referee Damir Skomina had generally a lenient but yet consistent approach in foul detection. His intention was to let the match flow as much as possible. He allowed a significant amount of physical play (02', 05', 22', 24', 83', and 87') and that benefited the match. His foul selection combined with his good application of the advantage rule, made the overall amount of fouls at 28. Apart from a couple of scenes (34', 39', and 69') the referee didn't miss any					

	significant foul with 1 exception at min. 56'. At that scene #04 MNC and #03 JUV try to get to the ball which is in the air after a corner-kick. The MNC attacker literally jumped on his opponent and forced him to score with an own goal. The referee and AAR2 didn't spot the infringement and allowed the goal. However it was a clear foul missed and as a result both the referee and AAR2 made a major error in LOTG.
--	---

2 | POSITIONING & MOVEMENT

READING OF THE GAME The referee's ability to see play developing & adjust positioning & movement accordingly.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
POSITIONAL AWARENESS The referee's awareness of his surroundings, including position of the players & the ball.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
OVERALL MARK An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS Brief comments by the assessor, applied to this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate.	Good positioning in general. The referee (with 2 exceptions 43', 61') managed to be positioned well in order to have an optimal view of all incidents and take the appropriate decision. That way he managed not to intervene with play. Good.					

3 | MAN MANAGEMENT

TECHNIQUES The methods used by the referee to manage situations and cooperate with the players.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
EFFECTIVENESS The success of the methods used by the referee to manage players & situations.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
OVERALL MARK An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS Brief comments by the assessor, applied to this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate.	Excellent man management. The referee used mostly small talk and had good interaction with the players. The players clearly respected him and thus he didn't have any problem to control them. At 2 scenes he used his body language in order to warn the players (07', 10'). As a result players behave in a very fair way and that had an impact on section 4 (see below).					

4 | DISCIPLINARY SANCTIONS

ACCURACY The accuracy of the referee's identification of cautionable and dismissable offenses.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
CONSISTENCY The referee's consistency in identifying cautionable and dismissable offenses.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
OVERALL MARK An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS Brief comments by the assessor, applied to this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate.	No disciplinary caution which is strange for a UCL match of such importance. However the referee took such preventive measures in order to make the players understand that he is in charge and won't tolerate any misdoing. However the fact that the players clearly respected him and understood his intention, made a caution unnecessary.					

5 | FITNESS

OVERALL MARK	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	8.5 EXCELLENT
COMMENTS	Excellent physical condition at whole 90'. The referee was able to run up and down the pitch without looking tired at any moment nor did he reserve his power. Well done.		

6 | OVERALL GAME MANAGEMENT & APPLICATION OF THE L.O.T.G

GAME MANAGEMENT The referee's overall management of the occasion and handling of the match.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
APPLICATION OF THE L.O.T.G The referee's knowledge and accurate implementation of the L.O.T.G throughout.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	X SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
OVERALL MARK An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	7.9 SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS Brief comments by the assessor, applied to this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate.	The Referee had a suitable tactical approach and managed to have control at all times. His management of the players combined with his clear warnings were also a positive note. However he missed the scene at 56' before MNC 1-0. A clear foul that lead to the own goal. Unfortunately this mistake makes his mark a 7.9.					

7 | OVERALL MARK

CATEGORY	MARK	BAND
1	7.9	SATISFACTORY
2	8.3	GOOD
3	8.5	EXCELLENT
4	8.4	GOOD
5	8.5	EXCELLENT
6	7.9	SATISFACTORY
OVERALL Add the above marks together and divide by 6. Round up or down to the first decimal place. In instances where the referee has made 1 or more major errors, this mark is limited to 7.9.	7.9 (due to 1 major error)	SATISFACTORY



SECTION B – THE ASSISTANT REFEREES

1 | OFFSIDE ACCURACY

ASSISTANT REFEREE 1	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
ASSISTANT REFEREE 2	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	X EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS	AR1 with 1 offside call on the match (50') but also with a good onside prior to JUV first goal (even though not that difficult to detect). AR2 had only 2 offside calls (04', 11') with the 2 nd one being the most important since he disallowed a goal for JUV. #10 JUV scores after a long pass and his in not offside. However #09 tried to play the ball and as a result he clearly intervenes with play. Very good decision.					

2 | TEAMWORK & COMMUNICATION

ASSISTANT REFEREE 1	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
ASSISTANT REFEREE 2	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS	Good teamwork. AR's supported the referee in various fouls at their area of vicinity (76', 84' 86', 90+1'). Also no disagreements on goal-kick, corner-kicks and throw-ins have been detected. Good.					

3 | OVERALL MARKS

ASSISTANT REFEREE 1	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	8.4 GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
ASSISTANT REFEREE 2	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	8.5 EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS	Both ARs did their job according to standards when it was needed. AR2 had the most significant scene of the match to judge and did it correctly hence the better mark.					



SECTION C – THE ADDITIONAL ASSISTANT REFEREES

1 | TEAMWORK & ASSISTANCE FOR INCIDENTS IN AND AROUND THE PENALTY AREA

ADDITIONAL ASSISTANT 1	POOR	SATISFACTORY	8.4 GOOD	
ADDITIONAL ASSISTANT 2	POOR	7.9 SATISFACTORY	GOOD	
COMMENTS	Easy evening for AAR1. He was always alert to assist the referee but wasn't challenged at all. AAR2 with participation at the missed foul before MNC 1-0. The scene is at his area of vicinity so he shares responsibility with the referee.			



SECTION D – THE 4TH OFFICIAL

1 | CONTRIBUTION TO THE TEAM

OVERALL MARK	POOR	SATISFACTORY	8.4 GOOD
COMMENTS	No challenges for FO. He executed all his technical duties at an adequate manner and was active the whole match dealing with the 2 coaches. Perhaps he should have dealt with JUW coach a bit sooner.		