





THEREF

THE REFEREES' WEBSITE

REFEREE ASSESSMENT REPORT 2015/16








Match Details

FIXTURE	 Liverpool	0-3	West Ham United 
COMPETITION	Barclays Premier League		
DATE (KICK OFF TIME)	29/08/2015 (15:00)		
VENUE	Anfield Stadium, Liverpool		



Appointed Officials

		MARK	BAND
 REFEREE	FRIEND, Kevin	7.9	SATISFACTORY
 ASSISTANT REFEREE 1	CHILD, Steven	8.4	GOOD
 ASSISTANT REFEREE 2	HATZIDAKIS, Constantine	8.3	GOOD
 4 TH OFFICIAL	TIERNEY, Paul	8.4	GOOD
 ASSESSOR	EDWARD		



SECTION A – THE REFEREE

1 | IDENTIFICATION OF FOUL CHALLENGES

ACCURACY The referee's ability to accurately distinguish between fair and foul challenges.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
CONSISTENCY The referee's consistency in identification & penalising of foul challenges.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
OVERALL MARK An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	8.3 GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS Brief comments by the assessor, applied to this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate.	The referee had a good foul detection and consistence. He allowed physical play in a good way (06', 22', 53', 66', and 83') in order to keep the match flow and favour it. His usage of the advantage rule (10', 17', 48', 52', 64') combined with his clear line benefited the match. Good call not to award a penalty at min. 09' for LIV since #02 WHU only slightly pushed his opponent (#09 LIV) and the attacker didn't fell instantly. Referee was very close. The referee punished 3 times an attacker's foul inside the penalty area (14', 20', and 74') and he was correct and consistent in all scenes. Also good decision to let play at min. 49' when #10 LIV tackled his opponent #08 WHU near LIV penalty area but played the ball. If a foul had whistled then the LIV player would be sent-off for DOGSO.					

2 | POSITIONING & MOVEMENT

READING OF THE GAME The referee's ability to see play developing & adjust positioning & movement accordingly.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	X SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
POSITIONAL AWARENESS The referee's awareness of his surroundings, including position of the players & the ball.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
OVERALL MARK An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	7.9 SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS Brief comments by the assessor, applied to this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate.	The referee was positioned well in general and managed to avoid interfering with play. In most cases he was there where he was supposed to be in order to take the proper decision. However in some scenes he could not be so close to the ball (21', 33') and of course his position wasn't good in the most serious scene (78' RC to #16 WHU). Had he been positioned better, he could evaluate the scene better and take the proper decision.					

3 | MAN MANAGEMENT

TECHNIQUES The methods used by the referee to manage situations and cooperate with the players.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
EFFECTIVENESS The success of the methods used by the referee to manage players & situations.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
OVERALL MARK An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	8.3 GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS Brief comments by the assessor, applied to this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate.	The referee had a good man management. He started with a really strong warning to #28 WHU (10') and in most cases he used his body language and gestures to manage the players. Moreover he efficiently calmed down the players when he sent-off #16 WHU and WHU players were agitated.					

4 | DISCIPLINARY SANCTIONS

ACCURACY The accuracy of the referee's identification of cautionable and dismissable offenses.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	X SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
CONSISTENCY The referee's consistency in identifying cautionable and dismissable offenses.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
OVERALL MARK An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	7.9 SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS Brief comments by the assessor, applied to this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate.	The referee issued 7 YC's in total plus 2 RC's. All the YC's were correct. 4 of them were issued for reckless challenges (38' #16 WHU, 52' #10 LIV, 69' #21 LIV, 85' #28 LIV), 1 was issued for dissent (45+4' #10 LIV), 1 for a tactical foul (90+1' #02 LIV) and the last one for delaying the restart of play (81' #28 WHU). The caution at min. 52' turned out to be a 2 nd so #10 LIV was sent-off correctly. The second RC is, according to this assessor, a mistake. #16 WHU tackles on #28 LIV right outside WHU penalty area. The tackle is made in low intensity, studs aren't in extend (they are on the ground) and the defender plays the ball. Taking everything into account, we rule out the SFP case and at the very best a careless character would be supported. As a result the referee has made a major error since #16 WHU shouldn't be sent-off.					

5 | FITNESS

OVERALL MARK	SATISFACTORY	8.3	EXCELLENT
COMMENTS	Good fitness in general. He used a couple of good sprints in order to be near the action. However in some cases he was seen walking especially around the centre circle.		

6 | OVERALL GAME MANAGEMENT & APPLICATION OF THE L.O.T.G

GAME MANAGEMENT The referee's overall management of the occasion and handling of the match.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
APPLICATION OF THE L.O.T.G The referee's knowledge and accurate implementation of the L.O.T.G throughout.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	X	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
OVERALL MARK An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	7.9	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS Brief comments by the assessor, applied to this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate.	Overall the performance had many positive elements (tactical approach, usage of the advantage rule, ability to identify reckless tackles). However he made a serious error by sending-off #16 WHU. His tackle is careless at best so a clear mistake by the referee. The mistake can be justified by his bad positioning at the specific scene. Had he been closer to the action and with a central position, the mistake could have been avoided.					

7 | OVERALL MARK

CATEGORY	MARK	BAND
1	8.3	GOOD
2	7.9	SATISFACTORY
3	8.3	GOOD
4	7.9	SATISFACTORY
5	8.3	GOOD
6	7.9	SATISFACTORY
OVERALL Add the above marks together and divide by 6. Round up or down to the first decimal place. In instances where the referee has made 1 or more major errors, this mark is limited to 7.9.	7.9 (due to 1 major error)	SATISFACTORY



SECTION B – THE ASSISTANT REFEREES

1 | OFFSIDE ACCURACY

ASSISTANT REFEREE 1	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
ASSISTANT REFEREE 2	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS	Both AR's had accurate offside calls in the few instances that needed. Also a couple of good onsides by AR2 (71', 75'). No real challenges for both despite the pace of the match. Expected level.					

2 | TEAMWORK & COMMUNICATION

ASSISTANT REFEREE 1	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
ASSISTANT REFEREE 2	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS	Good teamwork and communication. Both AR's signalled a few fouls on their area of vicinity (12', 62', and 74') but AR2 should have flagged for a foul (67'). No real problem at the section.					

3 | OVERALL MARKS

ASSISTANT REFEREE 1	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	8.4 GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
ASSISTANT REFEREE 2	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	8.3 GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS	Good performance by both AR's. They weren't challenged a lot since the match had only a few offside calls. Moreover they flagged a few foul offences near them. AR2 with a couple of mistakes (39' missed GK, 67' he should have flagged for a foul) but nothing serious.					



SECTION C – THE 4TH OFFICIAL

1 | CONTRIBUTION TO THE TEAM

OVERALL MARK	POOR	SATISFACTORY	8.4 GOOD
COMMENTS	The Fourth Official executed correctly his technical duties. Substitutions and additional time were displayed properly and on time. He had mostly small talk with both coaches when it was required. Good and active performance.		