

REFEREE ASSESSMENT REPORT 2015/16




Match Details

| FIXTURE | | Chelsea | 2-0 | Arsenal | Arsenal | | | |
|----------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----|---------|---------|--|--|--|
| COMPETITION | Barclays Premie | er League | | | | | | |
| DATE (KICK OFF TIME) | 19/09/2015 (12 | 19/09/2015 (12:45) | | | | | | |
| VENUE | Stamford Bridg | e, London | | | | | | |



Appointed Officials

| | | MARK | BAND |
|--------------------------|-----------------|------|--------------|
| REFEREE | DEAN, Michael | 7.9 | SATISFACTORY |
| ASSISTANT REFEREE 1 | LONG, Simon | 8.5 | EXCELLENT |
| ASSISTANT REFEREE 2 | BURT, Stuart | 8.4 | GOOD |
| 4 TH OFFICIAL | OLIVER, Michael | 8.4 | GOOD |
| ASSESSOR | RYAN | | |



1 | IDENTIFICATION OF FOUL CHALLENGES

| ACCURACY The referee's ability to accurately distinguish between fair and foul challenges. | UNACCEPTABLE | POOR | SATISFACTORY | X GOOD | EXCELLENT | OUTSTANDING | |
|--|-----------------|--|------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|--|
| CONSISTENCY The referee's consistency in identification & penalising of foul challenges. | UNACCEPTABLE | X POOR | SATISFACTORY | GOOD | EXCELLENT | OUTSTANDING | |
| OVERALL MARK An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category. | UNACCEPTABLE | POOR | 7.5 SATISFACTORY | GOOD | EXCELLENT | OUTSTANDING | |
| COMMENTS | Mike's performa | Mike's performance was let down by missing a clear red card offence for Costa in the first | | | | | |

Brief comments by the assessor, applied to this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate.

Mike's performance was let down by missing a clear red card offence for Costa in the first half. It appeared that Costa raised his hands 3 times and was lucky to stay on the field in my opinion.

2 | POSITIONING & MOVEMENT

| READING OF THE GAME | | | | Х | | |
|--|-----------------|-------------|--------------|------|-----------|-------------|
| The referee's ability to see play developing & adjust positioning & movement accordingly. | UNACCEPTABLE | POOR | SATISFACTORY | GOOD | EXCELLENT | OUTSTANDING |
| POSITIONAL AWARENESS | | | | Х | | |
| The referee's awareness of his surroundings, including position of the players & the ball. | UNACCEPTABLE | POOR | SATISFACTORY | GOOD | EXCELLENT | OUTSTANDING |
| OVERALL MARK | | | | 8.4 | | |
| An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category. | UNACCEPTABLE | POOR | SATISFACTORY | GOOD | EXCELLENT | OUTSTANDING |
| COMMENTS | Mike moved well | throughout. | | | | |
| Brief comments by the assessor, applied to | | | | | | |
| this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate. | | | | | | |

3 | MAN MANAGEMENT

| TECHNIQUES The methods used by the referee to manage situations and cooperate with the players. | UNACCEPTABLE | POOR | SATISFACTORY | X GOOD | EXCELLENT | OUTSTANDING |
|---|-----------------|-------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------|-------------|
| EFFECTIVENESS The success of the methods used by the referee to manage players & situations. | UNACCEPTABLE | POOR | X SATISFACTORY | GOOD | EXCELLENT | OUTSTANDING |
| OVERALL MARK An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category. | UNACCEPTABLE | POOR | 7.9 SATISFACTORY | GOOD | EXCELLENT | OUTSTANDING |
| COMMENTS Brief comments by the assessor, applied to this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate. | Players could h | ave been ma | anaged better o | n a few occasi | ons. | |

4 DISCIPLINARY SANCTIONS

| ACCURACY The accuracy of the referee's identification of cautionable and dismissable offenses. | UNACCEPTABLE | X POOR | SATISFACTORY | GOOD | EXCELLENT | OUTSTANDING |
|---|---|------------------|--------------|------|-----------|-------------|
| CONSISTENCY The referee's consistency in identifying cautionable and dismissable offenses. | UNACCEPTABLE | POOR | X | GOOD | EXCELLENT | OUTSTANDING |
| OVERALL MARK An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category. | UNACCEPTABLE | 7.4 POOR | SATISFACTORY | GOOD | EXCELLENT | OUTSTANDING |
| COMMENTS Brief comments by the assessor, applied to this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate. | The major talking point was Costa getting away with various things throughout the match, I feel these offences do not display well to supporters on what is acceptable at this level. The other offences were dealt with. | | | | | |

5 | FITNESS

| OVERALL MARK | | 8.4 | | |
|--------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------|--|
| | SATISFACTORY | GOOD | EXCELLENT | |
| COMMENTS | Kept going for th | ne full game. | | |

6 OVERALL GAME MANAGEMENT & APPLICATION OF THE L.O.T.G

| GAME MANAGEMENT The referee's overall management of the occasion and handling of the match. | UNACCEPTABLE | POOR | SATISFACTORY | X GOOD | EXCELLENT | OUTSTANDING |
|---|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| APPLICATION OF THE L.O.T.G The referee's knowledge and accurate implementation of the L.O.T.G throughout. | UNACCEPTABLE | POOR | X SATISFACTORY | GOOD | EXCELLENT | OUTSTANDING |
| OVERALL MARK An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category. | UNACCEPTABLE | POOR | 7.5 SATISFACTORY | GOOD | EXCELLENT | OUTSTANDING |
| COMMENTS Brief comments by the assessor, applied to this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate. | Apart from the 0 | Costa incidents | - the game was | fiery but kept ju | st under contro | ol. |

7 OVERALL MARK

| CATEGORY | MARK | BAND |
|--|------|--------------|
| 1 | 7.5 | SATISFACTORY |
| 2 | 8.4 | GOOD |
| 3 | 7.9 | SATISFACTORY |
| 4 | 7.4 | POOR |
| 5 | 8.4 | GOOD |
| 6 | 7.5 | SATISFACTORY |
| OVERALL Add the above marks together and divide by 6. Round up or down to the first decimal place. In instances where the referee has made 1 or more major errors, this mark is limited to 7.9. | 7.9 | SATISFACTORY |



SECTION B – THE ASSISTANT REFEREES

1 | OFFSIDE ACCURACY

| ASSISTANT REFEREE 1 | | | | Х | | | | | |
|---------------------|------------------|---|--------------|------|-----------|-------------|--|--|--|
| | UNACCEPTABLE | POOR | SATISFACTORY | GOOD | EXCELLENT | OUTSTANDING | | | |
| ASSISTANT REFEREE 2 | | | | Х | | | | | |
| | UNACCEPTABLE | POOR | SATISFACTORY | GOOD | EXCELLENT | OUTSTANDING | | | |
| COMMENTS | Good offside acc | Good offside accuracy from both assistants. | | | | | | | |

2 | TEAMWORK & COMMUNICATION

| ASSISTANT REFEREE 1 | | | | | Х | | | |
|---------------------|----------------|---|--------------|------|-----------|-------------|--|--|
| | UNACCEPTABLE | POOR | SATISFACTORY | GOOD | EXCELLENT | OUTSTANDING | | |
| ASSISTANT REFEREE 2 | | | | Х | | | | |
| | UNACCEPTABLE | POOR | SATISFACTORY | GOOD | EXCELLENT | OUTSTANDING | | |
| COMMENTS | Had good teamw | Had good teamwork, but could be faulted for not seeing the Costa incidents. | | | | | | |

3 | OVERALL MARKS

| ASSISTANT REFEREE 1 | | | | | 8.5 | | | |
|---------------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|------|-----------|-------------|--|--|
| | UNACCEPTABLE | POOR | SATISFACTORY | GOOD | EXCELLENT | OUTSTANDING | | |
| ASSISTANT REFEREE 2 | | | | 8.4 | | | | |
| | UNACCEPTABLE | POOR | SATISFACTORY | GOOD | EXCELLENT | OUTSTANDING | | |
| COMMENTS | Good work by the | Good work by the assistants overall. | | | | | | |

SECTION C – THE 4TH OFFICIAL

1 | CONTRIBUTION TO THE TEAM

| OVERALL MARK | | | 8.4 | |
|--------------|--|--------------|------|--|
| | POOR | SATISFACTORY | GOOD | |
| COMMENTS | Nothing beyond expected - on a heated touchline! | | | |