

REFEREE ASSESSMENT REPORT 2015/16



Match Details

| FIXTURE | | Stoke City | 0-1 | West Bromwich Albion | ÖN | | | |
|----------------------|---------|-------------------------|-----|----------------------|----|--|--|--|
| COMPETITION | Barclay | Barclays Premier League | | | | | | |
| DATE (KICK OFF TIME) | 29/08/2 | 29/08/2015 (15:00) | | | | | | |
| VENUE | Britann | ia Stadium, Stoke | | | | | | |



Appointed Officials

| | | | MARK | BAND |
|---|-------------------------|-----------------|------|-------------|
| | REFEREE | OLIVER, Michael | 9.0 | OUTSTANDING |
| | ASSISTANT REFEREE 1 | BURT, Stuart | 8.8 | EXCELLENT |
| | ASSISTANT REFEREE 2 | BESWICK, Gary | 8.8 | EXCELLENT |
| ų | 4 [™] OFFICIAL | DUNCAN, Scott | 8.4 | GOOD |
| | ASSESSOR | DANIEL | | |

SECTION A – THE REFEREE

1 IDENTIFICATION OF FOUL CHALLENGES

| ACCURACY The referee's ability to accurately distinguish between fair and foul challenges. | UNACCEPTABLE | POOR | SATISFACTORY | GOOD | X EXCELLENT | OUTSTANDING |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|---|
| CONSISTENCY The referee's consistency in identification & penalising of foul challenges. | UNACCEPTABLE | POOR | SATISFACTORY | GOOD | X EXCELLENT | OUTSTANDING |
| OVERALL MARK An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category. | UNACCEPTABLE | POOR | SATISFACTORY | GOOD | 8.9 EXCELLENT | OUTSTANDING |
| COMMENTS Brief comments by the assessor, applied to this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate. | fellow officials co distinguished be use of the advan between those c | orrectly identif tween these an tage clause sev challenges which | nt level of foul def ied the illegal cha nd those which we veral times during ch were careless a he referee's foul d | llenges during ere legal. The the afternoor and more reckle | the match and referee also ma and he disting | correctly ade excellent uished well |

2 POSITIONING & MOVEMENT

| READING OF THE GAME The referee's ability to see play developing & adjust positioning & movement accordingly. | UNACCEPTABLE | POOR | SATISFACTORY | GOOD | X EXCELLENT | OUTSTANDING |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|
| POSITIONAL AWARENESS The referee's awareness of his surroundings, including position of the players & the ball. | UNACCEPTABLE | POOR | SATISFACTORY | GOOD | X EXCELLENT | OUTSTANDING |
| OVERALL MARK An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category. | UNACCEPTABLE | POOR | SATISFACTORY | GOOD | 8.9 excellent | OUTSTANDING |
| COMMENTS Brief comments by the assessor, applied to this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate. | The referees pos (see below) mea distance that ena proceedings with | nt that he was abled him to ta | able to make se ke up credible p | veral strong spr ositions that en | ints of varying l abled a good vi | evels of ewpoint of |

3 MAN MANAGEMENT

| TECHNIQUES The methods used by the referee to manage situations and cooperate with the players. | UNACCEPTABLE | POOR | SATISFACTORY | GOOD | EXCELLENT | X OUTSTANDING |
|--|---|--|---|---|--|---|
| EFFECTIVENESS The success of the methods used by the referee to manage players & situations. | UNACCEPTABLE | POOR | SATISFACTORY | GOOD | EXCELLENT | X OUTSTANDING |
| OVERALL MARK An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category. | UNACCEPTABLE | POOR | SATISFACTORY | GOOD | EXCELLENT | 9.2 OUTSTANDING |
| COMMENTS Brief comments by the assessor, applied to this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate. | The referee demo could have potent When faced with a decisions, he main diffuse the situatio colleagues. He the from the field of p and following this communication. In his calm and author remainder of the n that he remained of his performance | ially threater a number of p ntained positi on and ensur en isolated th play, meaning he preceded n the momen poritative style match with th in control of | ned his match co olayers trying to ve, confident bo e they remained e offenders away no further confr to further calm ts after each red e of managing the ne referee using situations which | ntrol had they r surround him b ody language and at a distance w y from the othe rontation happe things down by I card, he maint e game. This co rebukes and sar could have pot | not been handle efore/ after the d used effective hilst he liaised of r participants to ened before the using good ver ained fully in co ntinued throug nctions were re | ed so correctly. e key red card e gestures to with his o dismiss them ey left the pitch bal ontrol through hout the quired to ensure |

4 DISCIPLINARY SANCTIONS

| ACCURACY The accuracy of the referee's identification of cautionable and dismissable offenses. | UNACCEPTABLE | POOR | SATISFCTORY | GOOD | EXCELLENT | X OUTSTANDING |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| CONSISTENCY The referee's consistency in identifying cautionable and dismissable offenses. | UNACCEPTABLE | POOR | SATISFACTORY | GOOD | EXCELLENT | X OUTSTANDING |
| OVERALL MARK An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category. | UNACCEPTABLE | POOR | SATISFACTORY | GOOD | EXCELLENT | 9.0 OUTSTANDING |
| COMMENTS Brief comments by the assessor, applied to this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate. | correct to dismis in the same incio Conduct and wh Stoke #16. All ca of Stoke #03 and disciplinary sand what was worth | ss Stoke #14 for dent was also co at is an adoptic utions issued w West Brom #2 tions were con y of a caution/o | v sanctions must a Violent Conduct prrect, this show on of an aggressiv vere correct and it 3 contributed to sistent with one a dismissal and what nstituted to pers | and the decisi ed a good distin e attitude. He in a number of his outstandin another as the at could be dea | on to caution W nction between also was correc instances such g game manage referee clearly It with via othe | Vest Brom #08 what is Violent t to dismiss the as the cautions ement. The determined r means. The |

5 FITNESS

| OVERALL MARK | | | 8.9 | |
|--------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|
| | SATISFACTORY | GOOD | EXCELLENT | |
| COMMENTS | The referee's fitr | ness was of the | highest standa | rd, he was able to make fast sprints of varying |
| | distances that all | owed him to a | lways maintain | an excellent view of proceedings and his |
| | stamina levels re | mained high th | nroughout the m | natch. |

6 OVERALL GAME MANAGEMENT & APPLICATION OF THE L.O.T.G

| GAME MANAGEMENT The referee's overall management of the occasion and handling of the match. | UNACCEPTABLE | POOR | SATISFACTORY | GOOD | EXCELLENT | X OUTSTANDING |
|--|--------------|---|--|---|--|--|
| APPLICATION OF THE L.O.T.G The referee's knowledge and accurate implementation of the L.O.T.G throughout. | UNACCEPTABLE | POOR | SATISFACTORY | GOOD | EXCELLENT | X OUTSTANDING |
| OVERALL MARK An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category. | UNACCEPTABLE | POOR | SATISFACTORY | GOOD | EXCELLENT | 9.1 OUTSTANDING |
| COMMENTS Brief comments by the assessor, applied to this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate. | - | naintaining con officials deserve resulted in disr to diffuse the c ter should be se itstanding comr firmly under his | trol of what at t credit for corre- nissal of Stoke C onfrontations th een as commend munication and s control for the | imes was poten ctly identifying a ity players from nat arose from t dable. His auth management sk rest of the gam | tially a difficult and then acting the field of pla hese incidents a oritative, positiv ills meant that | match to upon the two y. As stated, the and subdue it in ve body he was able to |

7 OVERALL MARK

| CATEGORY | MARK | BAND |
|---|------|-------------|
| 1 | 8.9 | EXCELLENT |
| 2 | 8.9 | EXCELLENT |
| 3 | 9.2 | OUTSTANDING |
| 4 | 9.0 | OUTSTANDING |
| 5 | 8.9 | EXCELLENT |
| 6 | 9.1 | OUTSTANDING |
| OVERALL Add the above marks together and divide by 6. Round up or down to the first decimal place. In instances where the referee has made 1 or more major errors, this mark is limited to 7.9. | 9.0 | OUTSTANDING |

SECTION B – THE ASSISTANT REFEREES

1 OFFSIDE ACCURACY

| ASSISTANT REFEREE 1 | | | | Х | | | | | |
|---------------------|----------------------|---|--------------|------|-----------|-------------|--|--|--|
| | UNACCEPTABLE | POOR | SATISFACTORY | GOOD | EXCELLENT | OUTSTANDING | | | |
| ASSISTANT REFEREE 2 | | | | Х | | | | | |
| | UNACCEPTABLE | POOR | SATISFACTORY | GOOD | EXCELLENT | OUTSTANDING | | | |
| COMMENTS | All offside decision | All offside decisions made by both Stuart Burt and Gary Beswick were correct. | | | | | | | |

2 | TEAMWORK & COMMUNICATION

| ASSISTANT REFEREE 1 | | | | | Х | |
|---------------------|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| | UNACCEPTABLE | POOR | SATISFACTORY | GOOD | EXCELLENT | OUTSTANDING |
| ASSISTANT REFEREE 2 | | | | | X | |
| | UNACCEPTABLE | POOR | SATISFACTORY | GOOD | EXCELLENT | OUTSTANDING |
| COMMENTS | The communica was effective lia up to the dismis well to spot the possible confror teamwork displa continued throu | ison between t sal of Stoke Citr deliberate stan itation arising f iyed here were | he referee Gary y #14 and cautio np by Stoke City ollowing this inc excellent. This e | Beswick via the ning of West Br #16 and enter t ident. The levels effective teamw | communication om #08. Mr Bur he pitch quickly s of communica ork and commu | n set in the lead rt then did very v to stop a ntion and unication |

3 OVERALL MARKS

| ASSISTANT REFEREE 1 | | | | | 8.8 | | | | |
|---------------------|------------------|---|--------------|------|-----------|-------------|--|--|--|
| | UNACCEPTABLE | POOR | SATISFACTORY | GOOD | EXCELLENT | OUTSTANDING | | | |
| ASSISTANT REFEREE 2 | | | | | 8.8 | | | | |
| | UNACCEPTABLE | POOR | SATISFACTORY | GOOD | EXCELLENT | OUTSTANDING | | | |
| COMMENTS | Both Stuart Burt | Both Stuart Burt and Gary Beswick had truly excellent performances. | | | | | | | |

SECTION C – THE 4TH OFFICIAL

1 CONTRIBUTION TO THE TEAM

| OVERALL MARK | | | 8.4 | |
|--------------|--|--------------|------|--|
| | POOR | SATISFACTORY | GOOD | |
| COMMENTS | Mr Duncan managed the dugouts well, even during potentially challenging moments. He also | | | |
| | completed all other duties of the forth official to a good standard. | | | |