





REFEREE ASSESSMENT REPORT 2015/16






Match Details

FIXTURE	 Watford	1-0	Swansea City 
COMPETITION	Barclays Premier League		
DATE (KICK OFF TIME)	12/09/2015 (15:00)		
VENUE	Vicorage Road, Watford		





















Appointed Officials

			MARK	BAND
	REFEREE	MADLEY, Robert	8.2	GOOD
	ASSISTANT REFEREE 1	MCDONOUGH, Michael	8.5	EXCELLENT
	ASSISTANT REFEREE 2	PERRY, Marc	8.4	GOOD
	4 TH OFFICIAL	BREAKSPEAR, Charles	8.4	GOOD
	ASSESSOR	EDWARD		



SECTION A – THE REFEREE

1 | IDENTIFICATION OF FOUL CHALLENGES

ACCURACY The referee's ability to accurately distinguish between fair and foul challenges.	     
CONSISTENCY The referee's consistency in identification & penalising of foul challenges.	     
OVERALL MARK An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category.	     
COMMENTS Brief comments by the assessor, applied to this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate.	The referee had a good foul detection in general, allowing a good amount of physical play (06', 22', 49', and 72') and letting the match flow as much as possible. This combined with his good application of the advantage rule (06', 14', 21', 43', 62', 82'), resulted at only 25 fouls. However the referee missed a quite significant foul challenge at min. 25' when #08 SWA tackled #22 WAT with a really reckless challenge and with studs in extend. A reckless challenge that should have been punished with a caution. There was one penalty area scene worthy of discussion and it came on 90+3'. #17 SWA received the ball with an air pass and got into the penalty area. #15 WAT tried to follow the ball and the two players collided inside the penalty area. Since a replay wasn't available, live impression is that no infraction took place and as a result the referee correctly decided to play-on. Good usage of the spray at 42', 56' but not usage at all at min. 08'.

2 | POSITIONING & MOVEMENT

READING OF THE GAME The referee's ability to see play developing & adjust positioning & movement accordingly.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
POSITIONAL AWARENESS The referee's awareness of his surroundings, including position of the players & the ball.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
OVERALL MARK An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	8.2 GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS Brief comments by the assessor, applied to this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate.	Good position in general. The referee had in mind to avoid interfering with play and in most cases he succeeded in it (some exceptions at 14', 75', and 79'). However he should be better positioned, and closer, at min. 25' to see the reckless tackle.					

3 | MAN MANAGEMENT

TECHNIQUES The methods used by the referee to manage situations and cooperate with the players.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
EFFECTIVENESS The success of the methods used by the referee to manage players & situations.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
OVERALL MARK An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	8.4 GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS Brief comments by the assessor, applied to this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate.	Good management of the players. 2 good warnings at the beginning of the match (09', 44') made the match easy for the referee since there wasn't much dissent or riots between the players. Also his quick decision on the RC incident (64') calmed down all the players. Well done.					

4 | DISCIPLINARY SANCTIONS

ACCURACY The accuracy of the referee's identification of cautionable and dismissable offenses.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
CONSISTENCY The referee's consistency in identifying cautionable and dismissable offenses.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
OVERALL MARK An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	8.3 GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS Brief comments by the assessor, applied to this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate.	The match presented only 2 disciplinary cautions and a Red Card. Both cautions were correct (67', 90+2') and were issued for late tackles. The RC for Violent Conduct (64') is an excellent and brave decision. Upon tackling for the ball #10 SWA steps on #08 WAT knee. #10 SWA uses his studs and clearly knows where his opponent is. A deliberate act of violence that was correctly punished with a RC. Well done. However since the referee missed the foul at min. 25', a YC was also missed since the tackle was really reckless.					

5 | FITNESS

OVERALL MARK	7.9 SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	
COMMENTS	The referee was rather influenced by the medium pace of the match and decided to reserve his power and not use his physical condition in the highest level. He was seen either walking (16') or standing in the centre-circle (12') or being away from play (25') and not be able to identify the reckless challenge. Definitely a section that must be improved if he wants to be appointed to bigger matches with high pace.			

6 | OVERALL GAME MANAGEMENT & APPLICATION OF THE L.O.T.G

GAME MANAGEMENT The referee's overall management of the occasion and handling of the match.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
APPLICATION OF THE L.O.T.G The referee's knowledge and accurate implementation of the L.O.T.G throughout.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
OVERALL MARK An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	8.2 GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS Brief comments by the assessor, applied to this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate.	The performance overall was good. The referee had a generally good foul detection (exception 25') and a very good application of the advantage rule. Moreover his overall application of the LOTG was in a good level. However the missed foul at 25' and the missed caution at the same incident, plus his rather satisfactory physical condition, reduce the mark.					

7 | OVERALL MARK

CATEGORY	MARK	BAND
1	8.1	GOOD
2	8.2	GOOD
3	8.4	GOOD
4	8.3	GOOD
5	7.9	SATISFACTORY
6	8.2	GOOD
OVERALL Add the above marks together and divide by 6. Round up or down to the first decimal place. In instances where the referee has made 1 or more major errors, this mark is limited to 7.9.	8.2	GOOD



SECTION B – THE ASSISTANT REFEREES

1 | OFFSIDE ACCURACY

ASSISTANT REFEREE 1	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	X EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
ASSISTANT REFEREE 2	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS	The match presented 6 offside situations in total. AR1 raised his flag 5 times and AR2 only once. However all 6 calls were correct and as a result both AR's did a good job at this section.					

2 | TEAMWORK & COMMUNICATION

ASSISTANT REFEREE 1	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	X EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
ASSISTANT REFEREE 2	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS	Very good teamwork by both AR's. They signalled 4 fouls in total (3 for AR1 and 1 for AR2). They had accurate calls for goal-kicks, corner-kicks and throw-ins and didn't appear any disagreement with the referee.					

3 | OVERALL MARKS

ASSISTANT REFEREE 1	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	8.5 EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
ASSISTANT REFEREE 2	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	8.4 GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS	Both AR's did a very good job. They were always in line with the 2 nd last defender and had accurate offside calls. Moreover they helped the referee identify fouls on their area of vicinity. Well done.					



SECTION C – THE 4TH OFFICIAL

1 | CONTRIBUTION TO THE TEAM

OVERALL MARK	POOR	SATISFACTORY	8.4 GOOD
COMMENTS	Expected level performance with FO. He executed all his technical duties in an adequate manner and on time. Good management of both technical areas and good co-operation with the referee at min. 79'.		