





THEREF

THE REFEREES' WEBSITE

REFEREE ASSESSMENT REPORT 2015/16








Match Details

FIXTURE	 AFC Bournemouth	0-1	Aston Villa	
COMPETITION	Barclays Premier League			
DATE (KICK OFF TIME)	08/08/2015 (15:00)			
VENUE	Vitality Stadium, Bournemouth			






Appointed Officials

			MARK	BAND
	REFEREE	CLATTENBURG, Mark	8.4	GOOD
	ASSISTANT REFEREE 1	BECK, Simon	8.4	GOOD
	ASSISTANT REFEREE 2	WEST, Richard	8.4	GOOD
	4 TH OFFICIAL	ATTWELL, Stuart	8.4	GOOD
	ASSESSOR	DANIEL		



SECTION A – THE REFEREE

1 | IDENTIFICATION OF FOUL CHALLENGES

ACCURACY The referee's ability to accurately distinguish between fair and foul challenges.	
CONSISTENCY The referee's consistency in identification & penalising of foul challenges.	
OVERALL MARK An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category.	
COMMENTS Brief comments by the assessor, applied to this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate.	<p>The referee's foul detection was of an excellent standard. He did very well to penalise the illegal challenges made throughout the match whilst correctly turning down appeals from those participants who claimed they were being fouled when in actual fact no offence had occurred. For instance, he was right to turn down the appeals from Aston Villa #11, who claimed he had been fouled in a aerial challenge in the last few minutes of the first half. Mr Clattenburg also made good use of the advantage clause, using it to good effect early in the second half which led to a promising attack for AFC Bournemouth. He also correctly pulled back advantages that did not develop and awarded the original foul where necessary, such as a foul by Aston Villa's #04 on AFC Bournemouth's #08.</p>

2 | POSITIONING & MOVEMENT

READING OF THE GAME The referee's ability to see play developing & adjust positioning & movement accordingly.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
POSITIONAL AWARENESS The referee's awareness of his surroundings, including position of the players & the ball.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
OVERALL MARK An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS Brief comments by the assessor, applied to this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate.	The referee's positioning was of an excellent standard. He made effective movements in many instances that allowed him to form credible positions and have a very good view of proceedings and any fouls taking place. He notably formed superb positions at set-piece situations in particular.					

3 | MAN MANAGEMENT

TECHNIQUES The methods used by the referee to manage situations and cooperate with the players.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
EFFECTIVENESS The success of the methods used by the referee to manage players & situations.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
OVERALL MARK An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS Brief comments by the assessor, applied to this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate.	The referee's man-management strategy was good early in the match. He sought to manage situations rather than resort to using cautions in the first instance, giving verbal warnings on the first couple of occasions that players were committing slight pull-backs or minor challenges. That said, when this continued with the AFC Bournemouth #7 pulling back the Aston Villa #9, he cautioned the offending player which helped him establish his authority over the match and prevent subsequent offences of this nature. However, this good early work was undermined in the closing stages of the fixture when the referee failed to effectively man-manage a situation whereby players from the Aston Villa team were deliberately delaying the restart of play by taking longer than necessary to take throw-ins. He did caution the Aston Villa #15 for this, but this could have been prevented by an earlier proactive intervention such as a rebuke or appropriate gesture to speed things up. He also did not manage the angry reaction from AFC Bournemouth #10 or a similar occurrence moments later.					

4 | DISCIPLINARY SANCTIONS

ACCURACY The accuracy of the referee's identification of cautionable and dismissable offenses.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
CONSISTENCY The referee's consistency in identifying cautionable and dismissable offenses.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
OVERALL MARK An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS Brief comments by the assessor, applied to this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate.	The referee was correct in administering all seven of the cautions in the match. However, he failed to caution the AFC Bournemouth #4 for a challenge in the first half on Aston Villa #4 which deliberately broke up a promising attack. The referee did penalise the offence and give a formal rebuke, which is good to see, but this should have been accompanied with a caution on this occasion. He was correct to caution the Aston Villa #15 for delaying the restart of the play but should have cautioned the AFC Bournemouth #10 for his aggressive reaction and failed to do so. He may also have been wise to consider a caution for a subsequent time-wasting occurrence just moments later.					

5 | FITNESS

OVERALL MARK	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	8.8 EXCELLENT	
COMMENTS	The referee's fitness was of an excellent standard throughout the match. This was notable when he made a run that covered the vast majority of the pitch in the first half when the ball travelled a long distance in the air to the Aston Villa #17 at the other end of the pitch.			

6 | OVERALL GAME MANAGEMENT & APPLICATION OF THE L.O.T.G

GAME MANAGEMENT The referee's overall management of the occasion and handling of the match.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
APPLICATION OF THE L.O.T.G The referee's knowledge and accurate implementation of the L.O.T.G throughout.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
OVERALL MARK An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	8.4 GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS Brief comments by the assessor, applied to this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate.	As stated, the referee performed well in terms of identifying foul challenges and penalising them, indicating a good through knowledge of the laws of the game throughout. He handled the match well for the most-part but as mentioned he should definitely have cautioned the AFC Bournemouth #4 in the first-half. He also could have managed situations at the end of the game where there was deliberate delaying of the restart of play at throw-n situations more effectively. Overall though, the referee's control of this game was firmly in the category of what would be expected at this level.					

7 | OVERALL MARK

CATEGORY	MARK	BAND
1	8.6	EXCELLENT
2	8.5	EXCELLENT
3	7.9	SATISFACTORY
4	7.9	SATISFACTORY
5	8.8	EXCELLENT
6	8.4	GOOD
OVERALL Add the above marks together and divide by 6. Round up or down to the first decimal place. In instances where the referee has made 1 or more major errors, this mark is limited to 7.9.	8.4	GOOD



SECTION B – THE ASSISTANT REFEREES

1 | OFFSIDE ACCURACY

ASSISTANT REFEREE 1	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
ASSISTANT REFEREE 2	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS	Both assistants were correct in all offside decisions throughout the match.					

2 | TEAMWORK & COMMUNICATION

ASSISTANT REFEREE 1	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
ASSISTANT REFEREE 2	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS	Good teamwork and communication between the officials was evident throughout the match. In addition to correctly determining offside and when the ball was in/out of play, the assistants also assisted the referee with correctly identifying a number a fouls.					

3 | OVERALL MARKS

ASSISTANT REFEREE 1	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	8.4 GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
ASSISTANT REFEREE 2	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	8.4 GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS	Both Mr Beck and Mr West had good games and performed to the expected level.					



SECTION C – THE 4TH OFFICIAL

1 | CONTRIBUTION TO THE TEAM

OVERALL MARK	POOR	SATISFACTORY	8.4 GOOD	
COMMENTS	Mr Attwell made a positive contribution to the officiating team, performing the roles and responsibilities of the Fourth Official at this level to the standard expected and maintained good control of the dug-outs.			