





REFEREE ASSESSMENT REPORT 2015/16



Match Details

FIXTURE	 AFC Bournemouth	1-1	Leicester City	
COMPETITION	Barclays Premier League			
DATE (KICK OFF TIME)	29/08/2015 (15:00)			
VENUE	The Vitality Stadium, Bournemouth			



Appointed Officials

		MARK	BAND	
	REFEREE	SWARBRICK, Neil	7.4	POOR
	ASSISTANT REFEREE 1	BRYAN, David	8.4	GOOD
	ASSISTANT REFEREE 2	SAILSBURY, Michael	8.5	EXCELLENT
	4 TH OFFICIAL	MASON, Lee	8.4	GOOD
	ASSESSOR	DANIEL		



SECTION A – THE REFEREE

1 | IDENTIFICATION OF FOUL CHALLENGES

ACCURACY The referee's ability to accurately distinguish between fair and foul challenges.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	X SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
CONSISTENCY The referee's consistency in identification & penalising of foul challenges.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
OVERALL MARK An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	7.9 SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS Brief comments by the assessor, applied to this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate.	The referee deserves some credit for correctly identifying many foul challenges that occurred and was generally consistent in his approach. There were a few occasions where blatant fouls were missed. The referee was correct to penalise a foul made by Leicester #14 on the AFC Bournemouth #24 though replays confirm this contact was made inside the area rather than outside and a penalty kick should have been awarded rather than the free kick which was awarded. Whilst given the extremely marginal nature of the decision he had to make, the referee reaching the incorrect outcome was understandable; this must nevertheless be deemed a major error given the importance of such a decision. The penalty the referee did award was correct and he deserves credit for doing so.					

2 | POSITIONING & MOVEMENT

READING OF THE GAME The referee's ability to see play developing & adjust positioning & movement accordingly.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
POSITIONAL AWARENESS The referee's awareness of his surroundings, including position of the players & the ball.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
OVERALL MARK An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS Brief comments by the assessor, applied to this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate.	The referees positioning was good, he showed good awareness of his surroundings including the position of the players and the ball, there were no occasions whereby he was in danger of getting in the way of proceedings. His positions at set-pieces were generally good and his movement was what would be expected.					

3 | MAN MANAGEMENT

TECHNIQUES The methods used by the referee to manage situations and cooperate with the players.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
EFFECTIVENESS The success of the methods used by the referee to manage players & situations.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
OVERALL MARK An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS Brief comments by the assessor, applied to this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate.	The referee's man-management was satisfactory. Whilst he did try to communicate with players throughout the match, the effectiveness of these efforts must be called into question. There were a couple of occasions where he were in danger of losing control, most notably in the incident that lead to the caution of Leicester #06 when several players surrounded him and began to quarrel and engage in mass confrontation with none seemingly listening to the referees gestures to move away and when he then tried to verbally communicate with players they were not seemingly taking much notice either. The handling of this situation could have been better. The players unfortunately did not seem to show much respect to the referee as a collective and it would have been good if the referee had done more to deal with what seemed a clear instance of dissent by Leicester #04 late in the second half.					

4 | DISCIPLINARY SANCTIONS

ACCURACY The accuracy of the referee's identification of cautionable and dismissable offenses.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
CONSISTENCY The referee's consistency in identifying cautionable and dismissable offenses.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
OVERALL MARK An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS Brief comments by the assessor, applied to this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate.	The referee took the completely incorrect course of action in cautioning the Leicester #06. He clearly intentionally kicked the ball at AFCB #13 which should have resulted in a dismissal from the field of play before then lashing out at AFCB #14 which was also something which must be deemed a red card offence, the fact that only a yellow card was issued means that two major errors have occurred in the referees performance.					

5 | FITNESS

OVERALL MARK	SATISFACTORY	8.4 GOOD	EXCELLENT	
COMMENTS	The referees fitness was of a good standard, he kept up with play well .			

6 | OVERALL GAME MANAGEMENT & APPLICATION OF THE L.O.T.G

GAME MANAGEMENT The referee's overall management of the occasion and handling of the match.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	X SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
APPLICATION OF THE L.O.T.G The referee's knowledge and accurate implementation of the L.O.T.G throughout.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	X SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
OVERALL MARK An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	7.6 SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS Brief comments by the assessor, applied to this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate.	Although the majority of the decisions the referee made over the course of the ninety minutes were correct, there were key match errors in this game which have had a significant impact on the mark which can be awarded. He does deserve credit though for the penalty he did award and his good level of fitness.					

7 | OVERALL MARK

CATEGORY	MARK	BAND
1	7.9	SATISFACTORY
2	8.2	GOOD
3	7.9	SATISFACTORY
4	7.6	SATISFACTORY
5	8.4	GOOD
6	7.6	SATISFACTORY
OVERALL Add the above marks together and divide by 6. Round up or down to the first decimal place. In instances where the referee has made 1 or more major errors, this mark is limited to 7.9.	7.4 (Due to several major errors)	POOR



SECTION B – THE ASSISTANT REFEREES

1 | OFFSIDE ACCURACY

ASSISTANT REFEREE 1	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
ASSISTANT REFEREE 2	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	X EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS	As expected all offside decisions were correct. Michael Sailsbury was correct in flagging for a very marginal offside committed by the AFCB #10 in the first half and deserves credit for the accuracy of this decision.					

2 | TEAMWORK & COMMUNICATION

ASSISTANT REFEREE 1	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
ASSISTANT REFEREE 2	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS	Both assistants made a good contribution to the team. Assistant #1 notably helped with the awarding of several fouls that were in close proximity to him.					

3 | OVERALL MARKS

ASSISTANT REFEREE 1	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	8.4 GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
ASSISTANT REFEREE 2	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	8.5 GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS	Both assistants deserve credits for there performances.					



SECTION C – THE 4TH OFFICIAL

1 | CONTRIBUTION TO THE TEAM

OVERALL MARK	POOR	SATISFACTORY	8.4 GOOD	
COMMENTS	Mr Mason performed his duties as fourth official as expected.			