



THE REF

THE REFEREES' WEBSITE

REFEREE ASSESSMENT REPORT 2015/16








Match Details

FIXTURE	 Sunderland	0-1	Tottenham Hotspur	
COMPETITION	Barclays Premier League			
DATE (KICK OFF TIME)	13/09/2015 (13:30)			
VENUE	King Power Stadium, Leicester			



Appointed Officials

		MARK	BAND
	REFEREE PAWSON, Craig	8.3	GOOD
	ASSISTANT REFEREE 1 CANN, Darren	8.4	GOOD
	ASSISTANT REFEREE 2 HALIDAY, Andrew	8.4	GOOD
	4 TH OFFICIAL MARRINER, Andre	8.4	GOOD
	ASSESSOR DANIEL		



SECTION A – THE REFEREE

1 | IDENTIFICATION OF FOUL CHALLENGES

ACCURACY The referee's ability to accurately distinguish between fair and foul challenges.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	X SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
CONSISTENCY The referee's consistency in identification & penalising of foul challenges.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
OVERALL MARK An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	8.1 GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS Brief comments by the assessor, applied to this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate.	The referee was correct to turn down the penalty appeals of Sunderland for a challenge on #18 in the first half and appeals for contact between Sunderland #2 and Tottenham #5. He also spotted a number of other fouls correctly during the match and was generally consistent in his approach. However, he did unfortunately miss a clearly reckless challenge by Tottenham #8 on Sunderland #9					

2 | POSITIONING & MOVEMENT

READING OF THE GAME The referee's ability to see play developing & adjust positioning & movement accordingly.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	X SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
POSITIONAL AWARENESS The referee's awareness of his surroundings, including position of the players & the ball.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
OVERALL MARK An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	8.3 GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS Brief comments by the assessor, applied to this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate.	The referee generally did show good positioning; he was never in danger of getting in the way of the participants or indeed play developing. His movement was fluid and generally allowed him to move into good viewpoints. That said, the reckless challenge the referee missed (see above) can be somewhat attributed to his positioning, a slightly better angle may have enabled him to more accurately judge the challenge concerned.					

3 | MAN MANAGEMENT

TECHNIQUES The methods used by the referee to manage situations and cooperate with the players.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	X EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
EFFECTIVENESS The success of the methods used by the referee to manage players & situations.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	X EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
OVERALL MARK An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	8.5 EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS Brief comments by the assessor, applied to this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate.	The referee demonstrated excellent man-management throughout the game. There was a brief period in the first half when the match became challenging to referee and the atmosphere become quite tense. The referee handled got through this period very well and showed superb man-management skills in calming the heated situations down and where needed using a disciplinary sanction to reinforce his control of the match. This was a notable as considerable strength of his performance.					

4 | DISCIPLINARY SANCTIONS

ACCURACY The accuracy of the referee's identification of cautionable and dismissable offenses.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	X SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
CONSISTENCY The referee's consistency in identifying cautionable and dismissable offenses.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
OVERALL MARK An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	8.1 GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS Brief comments by the assessor, applied to this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate.	All cautions issued were correct. However, as stated the referee did miss a clear reckless challenge which should have resulted in a caution being issued.					

5 | FITNESS

OVERALL MARK	SATISFACTORY	8.4 GOOD	EXCELLENT	
COMMENTS	The referees showed good levels of fitness during the course of the match.			

6 | OVERALL GAME MANAGEMENT & APPLICATION OF THE L.O.T.G

GAME MANAGEMENT The referee's overall management of the occasion and handling of the match.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
APPLICATION OF THE L.O.T.G The referee's knowledge and accurate implementation of the L.O.T.G throughout.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
OVERALL MARK An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	8.4 GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS Brief comments by the assessor, applied to this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate.	An generally good performance from the referee, he displayed excellent man-management skills and identified the majority of foul's correctly. To progress into the excellent category, he would have needed to have correctly identified, penalised and issue the appropriate sanction for the reckless challenge by the Tottenham #8 on Sunderland #9 and in places improve his positioning slightly. Overall though, this performance should be deemed firmly in the good positions.					

7 | OVERALL MARK

CATEGORY	MARK	BAND
1	8.1	EXCELLENT
2	8.3	GOOD
3	8.5	EXCELLENT
4	8.1	GOOD
5	8.4	EXCELLENT
6	8.4	EXCELLENT
OVERALL Add the above marks together and divide by 6. Round up or down to the first decimal place. In instances where the referee has made 1 or more major errors, this mark is limited to 7.9.	8.3	GOOD



SECTION B – THE ASSISTANT REFEREES

1 | OFFSIDE ACCURACY

ASSISTANT REFEREE 1	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
ASSISTANT REFEREE 2	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS	As expected all offside decisions were correct.					

2 | TEAMWORK & COMMUNICATION

ASSISTANT REFEREE 1	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
ASSISTANT REFEREE 2	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS	Both assistants were good in liaising with the referee in decisions regarding whether foul challenges had been committed or if the ball was in/out of play.					

3 | OVERALL MARKS

ASSISTANT REFEREE 1	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
ASSISTANT REFEREE 2	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	X GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS	Both assistant referees gave solid performances on the whole.					



SECTION C – THE 4TH OFFICIAL

1 | CONTRIBUTION TO THE TEAM

OVERALL MARK	POOR	SATISFACTORY	8.4 GOOD	
COMMENTS	Mr Marriner carried out the duties of a fourth official to a good standard, a valuable contribution made.			