





REFEREE ASSESSMENT REPORT 2015/16




Match Details

FIXTURE	 West Bromwich Albion	0-0	Southampton	
COMPETITION	Barclays Premier League			
DATE (KICK OFF TIME)	12/09/2015 (15:00)			
VENUE	The Hawthorns Stadium, West Bromwich			



Appointed Officials

			MARK	BAND
	REFEREE	ATTWELL, Stuart	8.6	EXCELLENT
	ASSISTANT REFEREE 1	COLLIN, Jake	8.5	EXCELLENT
	ASSISTANT REFEREE 2	SCHOLES, Mark	8.5	EXCELLENT
	4 TH OFFICIAL	DEAN, Michael	8.4	GOOD
	ASSESSOR	DANIEL		



SECTION A – THE REFEREE

1 | IDENTIFICATION OF FOUL CHALLENGES

ACCURACY The referee's ability to accurately distinguish between fair and foul challenges.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
CONSISTENCY The referee's consistency in identification & penalising of foul challenges.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
OVERALL MARK An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS Brief comments by the assessor, applied to this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate.	The referee displayed exemplary foul detection over the duration of the entire match. All the fouls awarded were justified. The referee must be given credit for a number of appeals for fouls that he correctly turned down during the match that in some instances were very difficult to call, but nevertheless the right outcome was reached by Mr Attwell. The most notable occurrence of this was in the early stages of the match when the referee in liaison with his Assistant when he correctly turned down appeals for a penalty for a challenge by Southampton #33 on West Brom #19. The referee also did well not to award a foul despite appeals for a challenge by Southampton #2 on West Brom #8.					

2 | POSITIONING & MOVEMENT

READING OF THE GAME The referee's ability to see play developing & adjust positioning & movement accordingly.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	X EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
POSITIONAL AWARENESS The referee's awareness of his surroundings, including position of the players & the ball.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	X EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
OVERALL MARK An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	8.4 EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS Brief comments by the assessor, applied to this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate.	The referee showed good positioning and movement throughout the match, the positions which he took enabled the accuracy of his decisions to be enhanced given the angles he took in certain instance that gave him the optimum vantage point. There was one minor occasion early in the match where he was in danger slightly of getting in the way of a couple of West Brom defenders, he did though spot this within a couple of seconds and swiftly move into a better position and this was by no means serious given the ball was still some distance away.					

3 | MAN MANAGEMENT

TECHNIQUES The methods used by the referee to manage situations and cooperate with the players.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	X EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
EFFECTIVENESS The success of the methods used by the referee to manage players & situations.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	X EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
OVERALL MARK An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	8.6 EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS Brief comments by the assessor, applied to this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate.	The referee demonstrated excellent man-management throughout the game. He correctly gauged that the spirit the match was being played in was relatively sporting and thus where possible used words of advice and rebukes in the first instance to man-manage situations rather than resorting to disciplinary sanctions. This worked extremely well as no further similar offences were committed by those involved. He always remained in control of the game and in the one instance that was justified (see below) he issued the disciplinary sanction required that ensured he always kept credibility and the respect of the participants.					

4 | DISCIPLINARY SANCTIONS

ACCURACY The accuracy of the referee's identification of cautionable and dismissable offenses.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	X EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
CONSISTENCY The referee's consistency in identifying cautionable and dismissable offenses.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	X EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
OVERALL MARK An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	8.4 EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS Brief comments by the assessor, applied to this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate.	The referee was only required to issue one caution in this match to the West Brom # 11 in minute '79. He was fully justified in issuing this card and it was a good decision to do so. On the whole, this game was not one that required the use of many sanctions and the referee did well to take account of this.					

5 | FITNESS

OVERALL MARK	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	8.5 EXCELLENT	
COMMENTS	The referee's fitness was of an excellent standard of fitness.			

6 | OVERALL GAME MANAGEMENT & APPLICATION OF THE L.O.T.G

GAME MANAGEMENT The referee's overall management of the occasion and handling of the match.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT X	OUTSTANDING
APPLICATION OF THE L.O.T.G The referee's knowledge and accurate implementation of the L.O.T.G throughout.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT X	OUTSTANDING
OVERALL MARK An overall mark out of 10 in accordance with the guidelines for this category.	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT 8.6	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS Brief comments by the assessor, applied to this category, with reference to specific incidents where appropriate.	An excellent performance in every respect.					

7 | OVERALL MARK

CATEGORY	MARK	BAND
1	8.7	EXCELLENT
2	8.4	GOOD
3	8.6	EXCELLENT
4	8.4	GOOD
5	8.5	EXCELLENT
6	8.6	EXCELLENT
OVERALL Add the above marks together and divide by 6. Round up or down to the first decimal place. In instances where the referee has made 1 or more major errors, this mark is limited to 7.9.	8.6	EXCELLENT



SECTION B – THE ASSISTANT REFEREES

1 | OFFSIDE ACCURACY

ASSISTANT REFEREE 1	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT X	OUTSTANDING
ASSISTANT REFEREE 2	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT X	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS	As expected all offside decisions were correct.					

2 | TEAMWORK & COMMUNICATION

ASSISTANT REFEREE 1	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT X	OUTSTANDING
ASSISTANT REFEREE 2	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCELLENT X	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS	Assistant #1 assisted with the no penalty decision whilst Assistant #2 did very well to notice several fouls and alert the referee correctly. There was an excellent coherency between					

	members of the refereeing team
--	--------------------------------

3 | OVERALL MARKS

ASSISTANT REFEREE 1	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	8.5 EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
ASSISTANT REFEREE 2	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	8.5 EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING
COMMENTS	Both Mr Collin and Mr Scholes worked very well with the referee and supported him throughout the afternoon.					



SECTION C – THE 4TH OFFICIAL

1 | CONTRIBUTION TO THE TEAM

OVERALL MARK	POOR	SATISFACTORY	8.4 GOOD	
COMMENTS	Mr Dean did very well as forth official and engaged well with occupants of the technical area.			